

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 AF HOLDINGS LLC, ) Case No. CV 12-06665 DDP (FMOx)  
12 )  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 )  
13 v. ) **ORDER DENYING EX PARTE**  
14 ) **APPLICATION TO TAKE EARLY**  
14 JOHN DOE, ) **DISCOVERY, AND ORDERING PLAINTIFF**  
15 ) **TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE**  
15 Defendants. ) **SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK**  
16 ) **OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION**  
17 )  
17 ) [dkt. No. 8]  
18 )  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

20 The court is not satisfied that it has personal jurisdiction  
21 over Defendant. Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Leave to Take  
22 Expedited Discovery makes no mention of this court's jurisdiction  
23 over Defendant, and the supporting declaration of Peter Hansmeier  
24 states only that Defendant's Internet protocol address is provided  
25 by Comcast Cable Communications LLC. (Hansmeier Dec. ¶ 24.)  
26 Plaintiff's complaint merely alleges that Defendant's IP address  
27 can be traced "to a point of origin within the State of  
28 California." (Complaint ¶ 6.) Plaintiff's Application is

1 therefore DENIED without prejudice. See SaleHoo Group Ltd. v. ABC  
2 Co., 722 F. Supp. 2d 1210, 1215-17 (W.D. Wash. 2010).

3  
4 In light of the court's jurisdictional concerns, Plaintiff is  
5 ordered to show cause, in writing of no more than ten pages, why  
6 this case should not be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction  
7 over Defendant. Plaintiff's submission shall be filed no later  
8 than September 17, 2012.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12  
13 Dated: September 5, 2012

  
14 DEAN D. PREGERSON  
United States District Judge